Presiding: Dr. Connie Smith (Chair)  
Members Present: Dr. Melissa Melancon, Mrs. Ivona Jukić, Dr. Scott Baggarly, Mrs. Sandra Blate, Dr. Ann Findley, Dr. Claire Stammerjohan  
Excused: Dr. Lynn Clark, Dr. Jessica Lasiter, Dr. Joydeep Bhattacharjee

Called to order at 12:00 p.m.

I. Welcome

II. New Proposals

A. Dr. Elizabeth Perry – Student’s level of confidence in providing pharmaceutical care before and after a medical outreach elective  
   Comments:  
   Approval: Exempt under III.B.3

B. Dr. Wendel Ray - A proposed phenomenological study of the motivations of individuals and families seeking to become non-kinship foster parents  
   Comments: 1. Under briefly describe the participants: Age >18 years and approximate number of respondents needs to be documented  
   2. Need questions for interview. IRB states there are 4 open-ended questions  
   3. If researcher determines harm, how will this be reported. This needs to be stated in the informed consent. For example, if determined the only reason they want to adopt is for extra income, then that needs to be relayed to the Department of Health Services in Mississippi.  
   4. Need letter of support from Department of Health Services in Mississippi

C. Scott Baggarly – Variations in ambulatory treatment of back pain by region and specialty in the United States  
   Comments:  
   Approval: Exempt under III.B.5

D. Sushma Krishnamurthy – Survey of employment data from ULM biology graduates  
   Comments: 1. Principal investigator has not completed IRB training  
   2. Age >18 years needs to be documented on IRB  
   3. Not derived from existing data so this needs to be “unchecked”
4. No informed consent statement at beginning of survey
5. Need benefits / risks on IRB

E. Brian Bramstedt – Student usage of social media
   Comments:  1. Not signed by collaborator
               2. If researcher determines harm, how will this be reported. This
               needs to be stated in the informed consent. According to Title
               IX, if we discover someone has been harmed/threatened then
               this must be reported to Dr. Brumfield

F. Attapol Kuanliang – The attitude of college students toward police
   Comments:  1. Not signed by collaborator
               2. Change age on survey to 18-20
               3. On IRB form under “Describe your methods of protecting the
                  participants privacy and confidentiality of information”
                  add statement that researcher will not track IP addresses
               4. Need copy of Midwestern State University IRB approval

G. Rick Stevens – The relationship between display design and memory
   Comments:  1. Need who to contact on informed consent

H. Candace Chelette – Pharmacy students perception of the prescription monitoring
   program
   Comments:
   Approval: Exempt under III.B.3

I. Adam Pate – Implementation of and student response to a multimedia design
   principle adherent lecture
   Comments:
   Approval: Exempt under III.B.3

J. Brice Mohundro – Effectiveness of an in-service project on pharmacy students’
   confidence in presenting in-services
   Comments:  1. Dr. Parish signature missing
               2. Informed consent needs to state “completely voluntary and
                  subjects can withdraw from survey at any time prior to
                  submission”.
               3. No survey attached

K. Kristin Pate – Emergency contraception: Evaluation of curricular content impact
   on student pharmacists’ knowledge, attitudes, and confidence
   Comments:
   Approval: Exempt under III.B.3

L. Connie Smith – Evaluation of the effects of blinding preceptors to students’ final
   score during pharmacy practice experiences
Comments:
Approval: Exempt under III.B.5

M. Annette Tommerdahl – Comparison of moral and ethical beliefs in online versus on-campus health care ethics courses
   Comments: 1. Age >18 years needs to be documented on IRB
               2. Need to add contact information to informed consent
               3. On IRB form under “Describe your methods of protecting the participants privacy and confidentiality of information” add statement that researcher will not track IP addresses

N. Pamela Saulsberry – Class assignment
   Comments: 1. Kentario Aubrey doesn’t have individual request for review
               2. Informed consent – date needs to be changed and a statement regarding whom to contact with questions. Also needs statement “this is completely voluntary and you may withdraw at any time without any negative consequences.
               3. Need parents approval if subject is less than 18 years of age; no signature line for parent
               4. What questions are they asking regarding “problems in living”?
               5. What happens with the information that the students obtain from the research subjects?
               6. What happens if something is disclosed to the researcher of severe nature? Will it be reported? This would need to be stated in informed consent.

O. Charles Cole – Daughters’ perspective of relationship with Vietnam combat fathers who’ve displayed PTSD
   Comments:
   Approval: Approved

P. Veronica Lewis – Survey of student preference: Online classes versus traditional classes
   Comments: 1. Need informed consent statement that will appear at beginning of survey

Q. Gregory Smith – Enhancing student and preceptor knowledge and confidence in evaluating internet based health resources
   Comments: 1. Informed consent must be obtained before data collection. Retroactive informed consent cannot be obtained.
   Approval: Denied

R. Brian Bramstedt – The effects of race, gender, and perceived male availability on intrasexual aggression
   Comments:
Approval: Exempt under III.B.3.

III. Extensions
   A. Lynn Clark – Monroe city schools accelerated reader impact study
      Comments: 1. Not signed by PI or supervisor
                2. Need letter of support from Monroe city schools
      Approval: Approved if above is on file in the IRB office
   
   B. Jana Sutton – University of Louisiana at Monroe (ULM) helping educators and
      learners prevent suicide (HELPS)
      Comments: 1. Attachment 10-14 not included in IRB packet
                2. Under “Describe your methods for protecting the participants
                   records” it states that participants names, email addresses,
                   phone numbers, mailing addresses will be submitted to the
                   IFC Macro data collection agency for SPEAKS but the
                   informed consent says no personal identifying information will
                   be collected from participants. Dr. Smith will follow-up with
                   Dr. Sutton.
      Approval: Approved if above is on file in the IRB office
   
   C. Charles Cole – Marital adjustment patterns and processes of newlywed couples
      Comments: 1. Remove IRB contact information from informed consent
      Approval: Approved with above comments
   
   D. Harper Gaushell – Exploring the impact of a systemic family therapy re-entry
      program on the recidivism of institutionally placed delinquent youth
      Comments:
      Approval: Approved

IV. Guidelines for Classroom Projects
   - Ivona will submit to University for policy acceptance

V. Timeline for IRB submissions
   - Expedited and exempt IRBs will be distributed to the committee members on
     a rotating basis for approval. After committee member reviews will be
     forwarded to IRB chair for final approval.

VI. CITI training
   - CITI training must be completed prior to voting or making recommendations
     on IRB proposals

VII. Other business
   - IRB Request for Review form will be edited to include the following:
     o Add a checkbox that states researcher will not track IP addresses
     o Under special considerations place age range beside students.
Adjourned at 2:05pm.