

University of Louisiana at Monroe  
Institutional Review Board  
February 8, 2012  
Minutes

Presiding: Dr. Connie Smith (Chair)

Members Present: Dr. Melissa Melancon, Mrs. Ivona Jukic, Dr. Scott Baggarly, Mrs. Sandra Blate, Dr. Ann Findley, Dr. Claire Stammerjohan

Excused: Dr. Lynn Clark, Dr. Jessica Lasiter, Dr. Joydeep Bhattacharjee

Called to order at 12:00 p.m.

I. Welcome

II. New Proposals

A. Dr. Elizabeth Perry – Student’s level of confidence in providing pharmaceutical care before and after a medical outreach elective

Comments:

Approval: Exempt under III.B.3

B. Dr. Wendel Ray - A proposed phenomenological study of the motivations of individuals and families seeking to become non-kinship foster parents

Comments: 1. Under briefly describe the participants: Age >18 years and approximate number of respondents needs to be documented

2. Need questions for interview. IRB states there are 4 open-ended questions

3. If researcher determines harm, how will this be reported. This needs to be stated in the informed consent. For example, if determined the only reason they want to adopt is for extra income, then that needs to be relayed to the Department of Health Services in Mississippi.

4. Need letter of support from Department of Health Services in Mississippi

C. Scott Baggarly – Variations in ambulatory treatment of back pain by region and specialty in the United States

Comments:

Approval: Exempt under III.B.5

D. Sushma Krishnamurthy – Survey of employment data from ULM biology graduates

Comments: 1. Principal investigator has not completed IRB training

2. Age >18 years needs to be documented on IRB

3. Not derived from existing data so this needs to be “unchecked”

4. No informed consent statement at beginning of survey
5. Need benefits / risks on IRB

- E. Brian Bramstedt – Student usage of social media  
Comments: 1. Not signed by collaborator  
2. If researcher determines harm, how will this be reported. This needs to be stated in the informed consent. According to Title IX, if we discover someone has been harmed/threatened then this must be reported to Dr. Brumfield
- F. Attapol Kuanliang – The attitude of college students toward police  
Comments: 1. Not signed by collaborator  
2. Change age on survey to 18-20  
3. On IRB form under “Describe your methods of protecting the participants privacy and confidentiality of information” add statement that researcher will not track IP addresses  
4. Need copy of Midwestern State University IRB approval
- G. Rick Stevens – The relationship between display design and memory  
Comments: 1. Need who to contact on informed consent
- H. Candace Chelette – Pharmacy students perception of the prescription monitoring program  
Comments:  
Approval: Exempt under III.B.3
- I. Adam Pate – Implementation of and student response to a multimedia design principle adherent lecture  
Comments:  
Approval: Exempt under III.B.3
- J. Brice Mohundro – Effectiveness of an in-service project on pharmacy students’ confidence in presenting in-services  
Comments: 1. Dr. Parish signature missing  
2. Informed consent needs to state “completely voluntary and subjects can withdraw from survey at any time prior to submission”.  
3.No survey attached
- K. Kristin Pate – Emergency contraception: Evaluation of curricular content impact on student pharmacists’ knowledge, attitudes, and confidence  
Comments:  
Approval: Exempt under III.B.3
- L. Connie Smith – Evaluation of the effects of blinding preceptors to students’ final score during pharmacy practice experiences

Comments:

Approval: Exempt under III.B.5

M. Annette Tommerdahl – Comparison of moral and ethical beliefs in online versus on-campus health care ethics courses

- Comments:
1. Age >18 years needs to be documented on IRB
  2. Need to add contact information to informed consent
  3. On IRB form under “Describe your methods of protecting the participants privacy and confidentiality of information” add statement that researcher will not track IP addresses

N. Pamela Saulsberry – Class assignment

- Comments:
1. Kentario Aubrey doesn't have individual request for review
  2. Informed consent – date needs to be changed and a statement regarding whom to contact with questions. Also needs statement “this is completely voluntary and you may withdraw at any time without any negative consequences.
  3. Need parents approval if subject is less than 18 years of age; no signature line for parent
  4. What questions are they asking regarding “problems in living”?
  5. What happens with the information that the students obtain from the research subjects?
  6. What happens if something is disclosed to the researcher of severe nature? Will it be reported? This would need to be stated in informed consent.

O. Charles Cole – Daughters' perspective of relationship with Vietnam combat fathers who've displayed PTSD

Comments:

Approval: Approved

P. Veronica Lewis – Survey of student preference: Online classes versus traditional classes

- Comments:
1. Need informed consent statement that will appear at beginning of survey

Q. Gregory Smith – Enhancing student and preceptor knowledge and confidence in evaluating internet based health resources

- Comments:
1. Informed consent must be obtained before data collection. Retroactive informed consent cannot be obtained.

Approval: Denied

R. Brian Bramstedt – The effects of race, gender, and perceived male availability on intrasexual aggression

Comments:

Approval: Exempt under III.B.3.

### III. Extensions

#### A. Lynn Clark – Monroe city schools accelerated reader impact study

- Comments: 1. Not signed by PI or supervisor  
2. Need letter of support from Monroe city schools

Approval: Approved if above is on file in the IRB office

#### B. Jana Sutton – University of Louisiana at Monroe (ULM) helping educators and learners prevent suicide (HELPS)

- Comments: 1. Attachment 10-14 not included in IRB packet  
2. Under “Describe your methods for protecting the participants records” it states that participants names, email addresses, phone numbers, mailing addresses will be submitted to the IFC Macro data collection agency for SPEAKS but the informed consent says no personal identifying information will be collected from participants. Dr. Smith will follow-up with Dr. Sutton.

Approval: Approved if above is on file in the IRB office

#### C. Charles Cole – Marital adjustment patterns and processes of newlywed couples

- Comments: 1. Remove IRB contact information from informed consent

Approval: Approved with above comments

#### D. Harper Gaushell – Exploring the impact of a systemic family therapy re-entry program on the recidivism of institutionally placed delinquent youth

Comments:

Approval: Approved

### IV. Guidelines for Classroom Projects

- Ivona will submit to University for policy acceptance

### V. Timeline for IRB submissions

- Expedited and exempt IRBs will be distributed to the committee members on a rotating basis for approval. After committee member reviews will be forwarded to IRB chair for final approval.

### VI. CITI training

- CITI training must be completed prior to voting or making recommendations on IRB proposals

### VII. Other business

- IRB Request for Review form will be edited to include the following:
  - Add a checkbox that states researcher will not track IP addresses
  - Under special considerations place age range beside students.

Adjourned at 2:05pm.