2017 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

University of Louisiana at Monroe

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION											
Program Web Site http://ulm.edu/education/index.html											
Approval/Ac	creditation		Status								
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)								Approved	
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)								Approved	
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges								Accredited	
		(SACSCOC)									
		National: Na	Accredited								
		Education Ac									
		Preparation (
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (U									
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Pa	100%								
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2014-15)								3.20	
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2014-15)								3.20	
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years								Data Not Yet	
		(by 2014-15)								Available	
Teaching Promise Data not yet available.											
Candidates/		Candidates				Completers				Total	
Completer		(2014-15)	137			69				206	
Diversity		Enrolled	Males			Females			Females		
		Gender	22				115				
		Enrolled	Hispanic Indian		Asia	an	n Black Islander		er White	Multi-Racial	
		Race	1	0	0		13	0	121	2	
		KNO	NLEDGE	AND SKILLS FOR	TEACH	IING OF	COMPLE	TERS			
Knowledge	Content	Completer Pa	100%								
	Pedagogical	Completer Pa	100%								
	Overall		100%								
Clinical Experiences		Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2014-15) Student Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching								180	
		Teaching	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Number of						Number of	Total Number of	
		During Student Teaching			•	•		Veeks	Clock Hours per	Clock Hours	
			buring staucht reaching				4.5		Week		
		15 35							525		
Licensure Requirements										100%	
		Requirements									
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet	Availabl	e							
	P	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVI	TY AND ALIGNM	ENT TO	STATE	NEEDS O	F COMPLE	TERS		
Entry and Pe	rsistence in	Percentage &	73% (n=50)								
Teaching in Public		Percentage & Number of 2014-15 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach								Data Not Yet	
Schools in Louisiana										Available	
		2010-11	& 2015-16								
(Please examine the 2017		Number of		Number &		ımber &		nber &	Number &	Number &	
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		2010-11 Completers		Percentage Teaching in		rcentage aching in		entage hing in	Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in	
interpret the meaning of these		completers		2011-12		012-13		13-14	2014-15	2015-16	
scores.)		100% (n=69)		67% (n=46)	64%	6 (n=44)		(n=42)	61% (n=42)	64% (n=44)	
Placement/Persistence in		Data Not Yet available								1	
High-Need	C. SiSterice III	Bata Not Tet available									
Subjects/Sch	ools										
342,500,500		l									

2017 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

University of Louisiana at Monroe

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANCE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TEACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING)											
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores									
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2017 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Score (2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015- 16) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.3 (n=254)									
Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2013-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores									
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	14, 2014-15, & 2015-16 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
	Student Outcome Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	2% (n=≤10)	9% (n=23)	30% (n=76)	59% (n=151)						
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores									
Skill (Please examine the 2017 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015-16) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.2 (n=254) Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores									
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2013-	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
scores.)	14, 2014-15, & 2015-16 Compass Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	≤1% (n=≤10)	6% (n=14)	60% (n=153)	34% (n=86)						
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores									
Demonstrated Teaching Skill (Please examine the 2017	Score (2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015- 16) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.3 (n=254)									
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2013-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores Ineffective									
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	14, 2014-15, & 2015-16 Compass Final Evaluation Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	1% (n=≤10)	8% (n=21)	50% (n=128)	41% (n=103)						
State Value Added Scores for New Teachers in	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2013-14/2014-15/2015-16									
Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by	Mathematics (Taught During 2014-15 & 2015-16)	-4.4 (n=21)									
Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers)		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
(Please examine the 2017		29% (n=≤10)	48% (n=10)	14% (n=≤10)	10% (n=≤10)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Science (Taught During 2013-14, 2014-15 &	-3.2 (n=30)									
interpret the meaning of these	2015-16)	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
scores.)		10% (n=≤10)	63% (n=19)	23% (n=≤10)	3% (n=≤10)						
	Social Studies (Note: Data not available – new	N/A									
	assessments being developed.)	Ineffective N/A	Effective Emerging N/A	Effective Proficient N/A	Highly Effective N/A						
	English/Language Arts/Reading (Taught During 2014-15 & 2015-16)	-2.4 (n=26)									
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
		27% (n=≤10)	35% (n=≤10)	39% (n=10)	0% (n=≤10)						
K-12 Student Perceptions	Data Not Yet Available.	(11-210)	(11-210)	(11-10)	(11-210)						
" TE Stage of Leice bring	Data NOC TECAVAIIADIE.										