2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD University of Louisiana at Monroe Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program												
BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION												
Program Website         http://ulm.edu/education/index.html           Approval/Accreditation         Names of Agencies         Status												
Approval/Accreditation		Names of Agencies										
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)										
		State: Board of Regents (BoR) Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges										
		-	Accredited									
		(SACSCOC)										
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher										
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP)										
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (Undergraduate)										
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	CANDIDATE SELE		IF						
Academic St	rength	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)							100%			
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2017-18)										
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)										
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled Completers					Total				
Completer		(2017-18)	99 54					153				
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females									
			14 85									
		Enrolled	Hispan	ic Indian	Asian	Black	Islander	White	Multi-Racial			
		Race	1	2	0	7	0	87	2			
		KNO	WLEDGE	AND SKILLS FOR	AND SKILLS FOR TEACHING OF COMPLETERS							
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)										
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2017-18)						100%				
Overall		Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2017-18)										
Clinical Experiences		New Option: Institution is Transitioning to One Year Residencies										
		Phase Out Op	190									
		Student Teac	630									
Licensure Requirements		Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements										
	Р	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIV	ITY AND ALIGNM	ENT TO STAT	E NEEDS O	F COMPLET	ERS				
Entry and Pe	ersistence in	Percentage & Number of 2017-18 Completers That Began Teaching in 2018-19										
Teaching in Public				ers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisi		siana in 2014			& 2018-19			
Schools in Louisiana		Number of		Number &	Number &		nber &	Number &	Number &			
/ <b>_</b> / / · · · · · ·		2013-14 Completers		Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching ir		entage hing in	Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in			
(Please examine the 2020		complete		2014-15	2015-16		16-17	2017-18	2018-19			
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		72%	72%	7	4%	76%	63%			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)		(n=46)		(n=33)	(n=33)	(n	=34)	(n=35)	(n=29)			

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

20	20 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPAR	ATION DATA	A DASHBOARD (	CONT'D)							
	University of Lou										
Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System											
·	Undergraduate Teach			,							
DEREORMAN					c)						
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	ACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING) Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores									
K-12 Students	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018-	3.0 (n=241)									
	19) and Number of Scores for All										
(Please examine the 2020	New Teachers with Less than Two										
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching										
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores									
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
	Student Outcome Scores for the	6%	16%	37%	42%						
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=13)	(n=39)	(n=88)	(n=101)						
	Effectiveness Levels		. ,	. ,							
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores									
Skill	<i>Practice Score</i> (2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19) and Number of Scores for										
(Please examine the 2020	All New Teachers with Less than	3.2 (n=241)									
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching										
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass T	eacher Effectiveness Le	vels for Professional Pr	actice Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
	Professional Practice Scores for the	0%	8%	56%	35%						
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=20)	(n=135)	(n=85)						
	Effectiveness Levels										
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores									
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018-										
Skill	19) and Number of Scores for New	3.2 (n=241)									
(Please examine the 2020	Teachers with Less than Two Years										
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	of Teaching Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores									
Data Fact Book to accurately	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
<i>interpret the meaning of these scores.</i> )	<i>Final Evaluation Scores</i> for the New	0%	16%	52%	33%						
,	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=38)	(n=124)	(n=79)						
	Effectiveness Levels										
State Value-Added	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten									
Scores for New Teachers		or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19									
in Grades 4-8 with Less	Mathematics	-4.2 (n=23)									
than Two Years of		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New		30%	52%	13%	4%						
Teachers)		(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)						
. caencroy	Science	N/A (n=N/A)									
(Please examine the 2020	(NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)						
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		(II-IN/A)			(II-N/A)						
scores.)	Social Studies	-0.7 (n=22)									
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
		14%	41%	41%	5%						
	Fuelish /Lenguage Auto /Deadlin	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)						
	English/Language Arts/Reading	la effective		(n=37)							
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
		8%	32%	46%	14%						
		(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=17)	(n=≤10)						